arXiv:1208.0658v1 [hep-th] 3 Aug 2012

Incompressible Navier-Stokes from Einstein Gravity with Chern-Simons Term

Rong-Gen Cai*, Tian-Jun Li*, Yong-Hui Qi*, Yun-Long Zhang*

**State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,*
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing, 100190, Peoples Republic of China

`cairg@itp.ac.cn`, `tli@itp.ac.cn`, `qiyh@itp.ac.cn`, `zhangyl@itp.ac.cn`

Abstract

In (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic system with broken parity, there additionally exist the Hall viscosity and curl viscosity. The dual holographic model has been constructed by coupling a pseudo scalar to the gravitational Chern-Simons term in (3+1)-dimensional bulk gravity. In this paper, we investigate the non-relativistic fluid with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity living on a finite radial cutoff surface in the bulk. Employing the non-relativistic hydrodynamic expansion method, we obtain the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity. Unlike the shear viscosity, the ratio of the Hall viscosity over entropy density is found to be cutoff scale dependent, and it tends to zero when the cutoff surface approaches to the horizon of the background spacetime.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Tq, 47.10.ad, 04.20.-q, 11.15.Yc

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Hydrodynamics with Hall Viscosity and Curl Viscosity 2

3 Parity Breaking Hydrodynamics from Gravity 3
3.1 The Dual Gravity Model . . . 3
3.2 Non-relativistic Hydrodynamics Expansion . . . 5
3.2.1 Traceless Tensor Sector of the Perturbation Equations . . . 7
3.2.2 Scalar Sector of the Perturbation Equations . . . 8
3.3 Incompressible Navier-Stokes at the Cutoff Surface . . . 9

4 Dual Fluid at the Infinite Boundary 11

5 Discussions 12

A Non-relativistic Hydrodynamic from Gravity 14
A.1 Bulk Geometry and a Finite Cutoff Surface . . . 14
A.2 Diffeomorphisms Associated with the Cutoff Surface . . . 15
A.3 Dual Hydrodynamic in Non-Relativistic Limit . . . 16

1 Introduction

Over the past years there have been a lot of studies on the gauge/gravity dualities [1, 2, 3]. In the long-wavelength limit, the fluid/gravity correspondence relates the boundary hydrodynamic equations to the perturbation equations in the bulk gravity [4]. Recently, the (2+1)-dimensional system with broken parity has attracted much attention, and it has been holographically realized through coupling a pseudo scalar to the gravitational Chern-Simons term in the (3+1)-dimensional bulk gravity [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The dual gravity model is a generalization of the Chern-Simons modified gravity [10, 11], which has been widely studied in the context of cosmology, gravitational waves, and gravitational tests in the solar and earth systems, see e.g. [12, 13]. In the dual model, $\tilde{R}R$ is the parity-violating Pontryagin density, which is a measure of the divergence of axial vector current of massless chiral fermions $\nabla_\mu j^{5\mu}$ in the gravitational anomaly [14].

The Hall viscosity η_A is a non-dissipative viscosity coefficient analogous to Hall conductivity which exists in the (2+1)-dimension fluid with time reversal symmetry breaking, it does not contribute to the entropy production of the fluid. In the quantum Hall fluids, the ordinary dissipative viscosities η and ζ are absent at zero temperature, while the non-dissipative Hall viscosity η_A could exist [15]. It can be characterized as the rational numbers and provides a fundamental measure of incompressibility of the Hall fluid, and it is related to a Berry phase and proportional to the density of intrinsic angular momentum [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. It is also proposed that the Hall viscosity can be measured as the coefficient in front of q^2 term in the Hall conductivity in small wave number q limit [22].

In the non-relativistic limit of the (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamics, the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations will be corrected by the parity odd viscosities, and it would be interesting to deduce the equations of motion holographically. In this paper, we use the non-relativistic fluid expansion approach to study the (2+1)-dimensional non-relativistic hydrodynamics with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity, dual to gravity involving a pseudo scalar coupled to a topological gravitational Chern-Simmons term. By using the non-relativistic hydrodynamic expansion method associated with a flat cutoff surface, we formally solve the gravity equations and the pseudo scalar equation up to second order in the non-relativistic hydrodynamic expansion parameter. The Brown-York stress tensor on the cutoff surface is identified with the stress energy tensor of the dual fluid, from which we can read out the general analytic expression for the transports coefficients, such as shear viscosity η, Hall viscosity η_A and curl viscosity ζ_A. The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity are obtained from the gravity side. In addition, it is shown that the Hall viscosity over entropy density is cutoff scale dependent, and tends to zero when the cutoff surface approaches to the horizon of the background spacetime.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamics with Hall viscosity and bulk viscosity in non-relativistic limit are investigated. Section 3 is the main part of this paper, where the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with corrections are deduced from (3+1)-dimensional dual gravity. The case when the cutoff surface goes to the AdS boundary is discussed in Section 4. And some relevant further discussions are given in Section 5. In Appendix A, the holographic non-relativistic expansion procedure associated with a finite cutoff surface in (d+1)-dimensional gravity is briefly introduced. In this paper, we use the small letter $i, j, = 1, 2, ..., d-1$ to denote the index of pure spatial coordinate x^i, the Greek symbols $\mu, \nu, = 0, 1, ..., d-1$, to denote index of the ordinary space-time coordinate $x^\mu \sim (\tau, x^i)$, and the capital letter $M, N, = r, 0, 1, ..., d-1$ to denote the index of bulk space-time coordinates $x^M \sim (r, x^\mu)$.

2 Hydrodynamics with Hall Viscosity and Curl Viscosity

In a (2+1)-dimensional parity violating hydrodynamic system, the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid with the first order gradient expansion can be written as [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = eu^\mu u^\nu + pP^{\mu\nu} - 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \zeta\Theta P^{\mu\nu} - 2\eta_A\sigma_A^{\mu\nu} - \zeta_A\Omega P^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

where the thermodynamic quantities e and p are the energy density and pressure respectively, which depend on the local temperature of the fluid and relate with each other through the equation of state $p = p(e)$. The shear viscosity η and bulk viscosity ζ are the canonical transport coefficients, while the Hall viscosity η_A and curl viscosity ζ_A arise from the parity violating effect. In a (2+1)-dimensional flat space-time background, the velocity $u^\mu = (1,\ \beta^i)/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$ and the projection tensor $P^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu$ should be functions of the space-time coordinates $x^\mu = (\tau, x^i)$. The first-order gradient expansion tensors can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\alpha}P^{\nu\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha u_\beta + \partial_\beta u_\alpha - \Theta P_{\alpha\beta}\right), \qquad \Theta = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu u_\nu, &(2)\\
\sigma_A^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}u_\alpha\sigma_\beta{}^\nu + \epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}u_\alpha\sigma_\beta{}^\mu\right), \qquad \Omega = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}u_\mu\partial_\nu u_\rho. &(3)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the convention $\epsilon^{\tau xy} = 1$, and chosen the Landau frame $T^{\mu\nu}u_\nu = -eu^\mu$.

In the thermal equilibrium state, we assume the fluid at rest has constant energy density e_0 and pressure p_0. Through introducing the heat function per unit volume $w_0 = e_0 + p_0$, we can define the normalized fluctuations of the thermodynamic parameters as $\mathcal{E} = (e - e_0)/w_0$ and $\mathcal{P} = (p - p_0)/w_0$. In the non-relativistic hydrodynamic limit, the following scaling appear [31, 34],

$$\beta_i^\epsilon = \epsilon \beta_i(\epsilon x^i, \epsilon^2 \tau), \qquad \mathcal{E}^\epsilon = \epsilon^2 \mathcal{E}(\epsilon x^i, \epsilon^2 \tau), \qquad \mathcal{P}^\epsilon = \epsilon^2 \mathcal{P}(\epsilon x^i, \epsilon^2 \tau), \tag{4}$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter. The velocity β_i could be regarded as a small vector fluctuation, while the thermodynamic quantities $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ basically come from the temperature fluctuation, and they would relate to each other through the equation of state $p = p(e)$ of the fluid. Up to the second order of the non-relativistic expansion parameter ϵ, one has

$$u_\mu \sim (-1,\ 0) + (\ 0,\ \beta_i)\,\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(\beta^2,\ 0)\,\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \tag{5}$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_j \\ \beta_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon + \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_i \beta_j \end{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{6}$$

The non-zero components of the normal traceless shear viscosity tensor and the traceless Hall viscosity tensor are

$$\sigma_{ij} \sim \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\partial_x \beta_x - \partial_y \beta_y) & (\partial_x \beta_y + \partial_y \beta_x) \\ (\partial_x \beta_y + \partial_y \beta_x) & (\partial_y \beta_y - \partial_x \beta_x) \end{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \tag{7}$$

$$\sigma^A_{ij} \sim \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (+\partial_x \beta_y + \partial_y \beta_x) & (-\partial_x \beta_x + \partial_y \beta_y) \\ (-\partial_x \beta_x + \partial_y \beta_y) & (-\partial_x \beta_y - \partial_y \beta_x) \end{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \tag{8}$$

the divergence and the curl of the velocity become

$$\Theta = (\partial_x \beta_x + \partial_y \beta_y)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \qquad \Omega = (\partial_x \beta_y - \partial_y \beta_x)\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{9}$$

The dynamical equations of the relativistic fluid are $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}^\nu$, where $\mathcal{F}^\nu$ is an external force density, and we can define the normalized force density as $f^\nu = \mathcal{F}^\nu / w_0$. In the non-relativistic limit (4), $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}^\nu$ will reduce to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity,

$$\partial^i \beta_i = 0, \quad \partial_\tau \beta_i + \beta_j \partial^j \beta_i + \partial_i \mathcal{P} - \nu\, \partial^2 \beta_i - \nu_A \epsilon^{ij} \partial^2 \beta_j - \xi_A \epsilon^{jk} \partial_i \partial_j \beta_k = f_i, \tag{10}$$

where $\nu \equiv \eta / w_0$, $\nu_A \equiv \eta_A / w_0$ and $\xi_A \equiv \zeta_A / w_0$ could be named as the kinematic shear viscosity, kinematic Hall viscosity and kinematic curl viscosity, respectively.

3 Parity Breaking Hydrodynamics from Gravity

In this section, we will deduce the (2+1)-dimensional non-relativistic parity breaking hydrodynamics described in the previous section from the (3+1)-dimensional gravity.

3.1 The Dual Gravity Model

The dual gravity model is described by the Einstein gravity involving a pseudo scalar coupled to the topological gravitational Chern-Simmons term. The action of the model can be expressed as [5]

$$S_{bulk} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\,(R - 2\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_{CS}) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\,\mathcal{L}_\theta, \tag{11}$$

where $g = \det g_{MN}$ is the determinant of the metric, R is the Ricci scalar, Λ is the cosmological constant[1], $\kappa_4 = 8\pi G_N$ with G_N the Newton's gravitational constant. The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{CS}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ are

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{\lambda}{4}\theta\tilde{R}R, \qquad \mathcal{L}_\theta = -\frac{1}{2}g^{MN}\nabla_M\theta\nabla_N\theta - V(\theta), \tag{12}$$

where λ is coupling constant and θ is the pseudo scalar field. The Pontryagin density $\tilde{R}R$ is defined as

$$\tilde{R}R = \tilde{R}^{M\ PQ}_{\ N}R^N_{\ MPQ}, \qquad \tilde{R}^{M\ PQ}_{\ N} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{PQAB}R^M_{\ NAB}, \tag{13}$$

where ϵ^{MNAB} is the four-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor in the bulk with the convention $\epsilon^{r\tau xy} = 1/\sqrt{-g}$. Varying the action with respect to the metric and the pseudo scalar respectively lead to the equations of motion

$$W_{MN} = 0, \qquad W_{MN} \equiv R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}R + \Lambda g_{MN} + \lambda C_{MN} - \kappa_4 T^\theta_{MN}, \tag{14}$$

$$W_\theta = 0, \qquad W_\theta \equiv 2\kappa_4\left(\nabla^2\theta - \frac{dV(\theta)}{d\theta}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{4}\tilde{R}R, \tag{15}$$

where the stress energy tensor of the pseudo scalar is

$$T^\theta_{MN} \equiv -2\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_\theta}{\delta g^{MN}} + g_{MN}\mathcal{L}_\theta = \nabla_M\theta\nabla_N\theta - \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{AB}\nabla_A\theta\nabla_B\theta + V(\theta)\right)g_{MN}, \tag{16}$$

and the four-dimensional Cotton tensor C_{MN} is a symmetric traceless tensor of the second rank, which is defined through[2] [10, 11]

$$C^{MN} = -v_S\epsilon^{SAB(M}\nabla_A R^{N)}_B + v_{ST}\tilde{R}^{T(MN)S}, \tag{17}$$

$$v_S = \nabla_S\theta, \quad v_{ST} = \nabla_S\nabla_T\theta = \nabla_{(S}\nabla_{T)}\theta. \tag{18}$$

The Cotton tensor's divergence has a non-zero topological source as

$$\nabla_M C^{MN} = \frac{v^N}{8}\tilde{R}R = \frac{v^N}{4}\partial_M J^M, \tag{19}$$

where J^M origins from the 4-divergence of the gravitational Chern-Simons topological current

$$J^M = \epsilon^{MNPQ}(\Gamma^A_{NB}\partial_P\Gamma^B_{QA} + \frac{2}{3}\Gamma^A_{NB}\Gamma^B_{PS}\Gamma^S_{QA}). \tag{20}$$

The gravity equations $W_{MN} = 0$ simultaneously imply $\nabla^M W_{MN} = 0$. Meanwhile, the Bianchi identity $\nabla_{(L}R_{PQ)MN} = 0$ leads to $\nabla^M(R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}R + \Lambda g_{MN}) = 0$. Thus, assuming that the parameter λ and κ_4 are constants, we have

$$\kappa_4\nabla^M T^\theta_{MN} = \lambda\nabla^M C_{MN}. \tag{21}$$

---

[1] If appropriate solutions exist in this system, we do not require the cosmological constant to be negative.

[2] It was also named as C-Tensor in [5].

On the other hand from Eqs.(16) and Eqs.(19) one has

$$\nabla^M T^\theta_{MN} = v_N \left(\nabla^2\theta - \frac{dV(\theta)}{d\theta}\right), \qquad \nabla^M C_{MN} = \frac{v_N}{8}\tilde{R}R, \tag{22}$$

from which together with Eqs.(21), we arrive at either $v_N = \nabla_N\theta = 0$ or $W_\theta = 0$. Thus if we assume that the pseudo scalar field θ is space-time coordinate dependent, i.e. $v_N \neq 0$, the Bianchi identity together with Einstein equations will lead to the pseudo scalar equation $W_\theta = 0$.

3.2 Non-relativistic Hydrodynamics Expansion

From the above discussion we can see that the pseudo scalar equation is not independent. Thus, we will focus on the gravity equations henceforth. The Ricci scalar can be obtained from the trace of Eqs.(14) as

$$R - 4\Lambda + \lambda C^M_M - \kappa_4[(\partial\theta)^2 + 4V(\theta)] = 0, \tag{23}$$

which lead to the trace-reversed form of the gravity equations: $E_{MN} + \lambda c_{MN} = 0$, where

$$E_{MN} \equiv R_{MN} - \Lambda g_{MN} - \kappa_4 t_{MN}, \qquad t_{MN} \equiv \partial_M\theta\partial_N\theta + g_{MN}V(\theta). \tag{24}$$

The simplified Cotton tensor $c_{MN} = C_{MN}$ is due to its traceless property $C^M_M = 0$. Then the equations of motion (EOMs) to be solved are [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{MN} &= 0, \qquad \hat{W}_{MN} \equiv E_{MN} + \lambda C_{MN}, && (25)\\ \hat{W}_\theta &= 0, \qquad \hat{W}_\theta \equiv \nabla^2\theta - \frac{dV(\theta)}{d\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{4}\tilde{R}R. && (26) \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the following general (3+1)-dimensional black brane metric

$$ds^2 = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{rr}(r)dr^2 + g_{xx}(r)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad i,j = 1,2, \tag{27}$$

as well as a radial coordinate dependent pseudo scalar field $\theta(r)$ solves the EOMs. With Dirichlet boundary condition at the finite cutoff surface $r = r_c$, keeping the induced metric flat, and employing the non-relativistic hydrodynamics expansion method (see Appendix A for details), one can perturb the background metric up to the second order of expansion parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(b)} &= g_{MN}dx^M dx^N = 2g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)drd\hat{\tau} - \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}d\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\delta_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j \\ &\quad - 2g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)\beta_i d\hat{x}^i dr + 2\left(\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} - \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right)\beta_i d\hat{x}^i d\hat{\tau} \\ &\quad + g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)\beta^2 drd\hat{\tau} - \left(\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} - \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right)\left(\beta^2 d\hat{\tau}^2 + \beta_i\beta_j d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j\right) \\ &\quad + (\delta\hat{r})\, g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)}drd\hat{\tau} + (\delta\hat{r})\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}\right)\left(g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)drd\hat{\tau} - \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}d\hat{\tau}^2\right) \\ &\quad + (\delta\hat{r})\left(\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right)\frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\delta_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

---

[3] In what follows, we will use the normalization $2\kappa_4 \equiv 1$, which means $16\pi G_N \equiv 1$.

where we have defined $g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r) \equiv \sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)/g_{tt}(r_c)}$. The bulk coordinates are $x^M = (r, \hat{x}^\mu)$, the intrinsic coordinates $\hat{x}^\mu = (\hat{\tau}, \hat{x}^i) = (\hat{\tau}, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$ and we denote $\partial_i \equiv \partial_{\hat{x}^i}$[4]. The pseudo scalar field should also be expanded up to the second order

$$\hat{\theta}(r) \equiv \theta(\hat{r}) = \theta(r) + \theta'(r)\delta\hat{r}. \tag{29}$$

We have assumed that the perturbation parameters are intrinsic coordinates $\hat{x}^\mu$ dependent, where $\delta\hat{r} = \hat{r} - r = \delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)$ is a scalar perturbation at order ϵ^2 and $\beta^i = \beta^i(\hat{x}^\mu)$ is the Lorentz boost parameter at order ϵ^1. Together with non-relativistic low frequency, long wavelength limit, we use the following scaling

$$\partial_r \sim \epsilon^0, \quad \partial_i \sim \beta_i(\hat{x}^\mu) \sim \epsilon^1, \quad \partial_{\hat{\tau}} \sim \delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu) \sim \epsilon^2. \tag{30}$$

Then we can solve the EOMs order by order with the non-relativistic hydrodynamics expansion.

The Cotton tensor firstly presents at order ϵ^2, namely $C^{(0)}_{MN} \equiv 0$ and $C^{(1)}_{MN} \equiv 0$. Thus we obtain background equations

$$E^{(0)}_{rr} = 0, \quad E^{(0)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} = 0, \quad E^{(0)}_{ii} = 0, \quad \hat{W}^{(0)}_{\theta} = 0, \tag{31}$$

where three of them are independent, and

$$E^{(0)}_{rr} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} + \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} + \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}\right)\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} - \frac{1}{2}\theta'^2(r) - \frac{g''_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}, \tag{32}$$

$$E^{(0)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} = \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}\left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}V(\theta(r)) + \frac{1}{2g_{rr}(r)}\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)}\left[\frac{g''_{tt}(r)}{g'_{tt}(r)} + \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} + \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)}\right)\right]\right), \tag{33}$$

$$E^{(0)}_{ii} = -\frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}V(\theta(r)) + \frac{1}{2g_{rr}(r)}\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}\left[\frac{g''_{xx}(r)}{g'_{xx}(r)} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} - \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)}\right)\right]\right), \tag{34}$$

$$\hat{W}^{(0)}_{\theta} = \frac{\theta''(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} + \left(\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} + \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{2g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)}\right)\frac{\theta'(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} - \frac{dV(\theta(r))}{d\theta(r)}. \tag{35}$$

We assume that background equations are always satisfied and they can be used to simplify the perturbation equations at higher order. It turns out that the intrinsic coordinate dependent metric and pseudo scalar can only solve the EOMs (25) and (26) up to order ϵ^1. Employing the spatial rotational $SO(2)$ symmetry between $\hat{x}^i$ directions, the nonzero traceless tensor sector and scalar sector appear in $\hat{W}_{MN}$ firstly at order ϵ^2. Correction terms to the metric and pseudo scalar are required to cancel the corresponding structure. For the traceless shear tensor sector, the following tensor correction terms are needed in the metric at order ϵ^2

$$ds^2_{(t,\epsilon^2)} = \frac{g_{xx}(r)\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_c)}}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\left(2F(r)\,\sigma_{ij} + 2F_A(r)\,\sigma^A_{ij}\right)d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j, \tag{36}$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \partial_{(i}\beta_{j)} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\partial_k\beta^k, \qquad \sigma^A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{ik}\sigma_j{}^k + \epsilon_{jk}\sigma_i{}^k\right), \tag{37}$$

where $F(r)$ and $F_A(r)$ are chosen to cancel the ordinary shear tensor σ_{ij} and Hall tensor σ^A_{ij} of source terms at order ϵ^2, respectively. For the scalar sector, we will choose the gauge that no corrections are needed in the pseudo scalar, and we introduce the following terms in the metric to cancel the residual curl scalar Ω appearing in the Cotton tensor at order ϵ^2

---

[4] Here and henceforth, subscript i denote $\hat{x}$ or $\hat{y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(s,\epsilon^2)} &= \left(2g_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)drd\hat{\tau} - \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r)d\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}H_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r)d\hat{x}_i d\hat{x}^i\right)\Omega, & (38)\\ \Omega &\equiv \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\beta_j = \partial_{\hat{x}}\beta_{\hat{y}} - \partial_{\hat{y}}\beta_{\hat{x}}. & (39)\end{aligned}$$

In summary, to solve the trace-reversed form of the gravity equations $\hat{W}_{MN} = 0$ and the pseudo scalar equation $\hat{W}_\theta = 0$, we will work with the perturbed metric and pseudo scalar field with corrections up to ϵ^2 in the non-relativistic hydrodynamic limit,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= ds^2_{(b)} + ds^2_{(t,\epsilon^2)} + ds^2_{(s,\epsilon^2)}, & (40)\\ \hat{\theta}(r) &= \theta(r) + \theta'(r)\delta\hat{r}, & (41)\end{aligned}$$

where the subscripts $(b), (t), (s)$ in the metric represent "background", "tensor", and "scalar", respectively. $\hat{\theta}(r)$ is substituted for θ in EOMs. Correction terms to the vector sector appear at order ϵ^3, thus will not be considered in this paper.

3.2.1 Traceless Tensor Sector of the Perturbation Equations

By substituting the metric (40) and pseudo scalar field (41) into the EOMs $\hat{W}_{MN} = 0$, the traceless tensor sector gives the following second order ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dr}\left[g_{xx}(r)\left(\frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)}}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}}F'(r) + 1\right)\right]\sigma_{ij}\\ &+ \frac{d}{dr}\left[g_{xx}(r)\left(\frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)}}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}}F'_A(r) + \frac{\lambda}{2g_{rr}(r)}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}\right)\theta'(r)\right)\right]\sigma^A_{ij}. \qquad (42)\end{aligned}$$

As σ_{ij} and σ^A_{ij} have different tensor structure, we can solve the two second order differential equations, respectively. Here we are interested in the physics between the black brane horizon Σ_h and the cutoff surface Σ_c. At the cutoff surface Σ_c, we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition to keep the induced metric $\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}(r_c)$ flat, i.e., $F(r_c) = 0$ and $F_A(r_c) = 0$. At the horizon $r = r_h$, we demand $F(r_h)$ and $F_A(r_h)$ to be finite. Then the function $F(r)$ and $F_A(r)$ can be determined as

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= \int_r^{r_c} dy\sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}(y)}{g_{tt}(y)}}\left[1 - \frac{c_F}{g_{xx}(y)}\right], & (43)\\ F_A(r) &= \int_r^{r_c} dy\sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}(y)}{g_{tt}(y)}}\left[\frac{\lambda}{2g_{rr}(y)}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(y)}{g_{tt}(y)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(y)}{g_{xx}(y)}\right)\theta'(y) - \frac{c_{F_A}}{g_{xx}(y)}\right], & (44)\end{aligned}$$

where the two integration constants are

$$\begin{aligned} c_F &= g_{xx}(r_h), & (45)\\ c_{F_A} &= \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{g_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{rr}(r_h)}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_h)}{g_{tt}(r_h)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{xx}(r_h)}\right)\theta'(r_h) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{g_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{rr}(r_h)}\frac{g'_{tt}(r_h)}{g_{tt}(r_h)}\theta'(r_h). & (46)\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the assumption that at the horizon of the black brane solution (27), $g_{tt}(r)$ has the first order zero $g_{tt}(r_h) = 0$, and $g_{rr}(r)$ has the first order pole $g^{-1}_{rr}(r_h) = 0$, while their product $g_{tt}(r_h)g_{rr}(r_h)$ is finite.

3.2.2 Scalar Sector of the Perturbation Equations

After solving the traceless tensor perturbation equations, only scalar sectors are left at order ϵ^2. At first, it is worthy to emphasize that the constraint equations on the scalar sector of gravity equations at order ϵ^2 give

$$\hat{N}^M \hat{W}_{M\hat{\tau}} = \frac{1}{2} w_0(r) \partial_i \beta^i = 0, \qquad w_0(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right), \tag{47}$$

where $\hat{N}$ is the unite normal vector of the constant r surface. As $w_0(r)$ is not identically equal to zero, the constraint equation (47) leads to the incompressible condition $\Theta = \partial_i \beta^i = 0$, which shows the velocity field is divergence-free while the vorticity $\Omega = \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \beta_j$ is still allowed.

Then we need to solve scalar sector of the perturbation equations in (25)

$$\hat{W}^{(2)}_{rr} = 0, \quad \hat{W}^{(2)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} = 0, \quad \hat{W}^{(2)}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} + \hat{W}^{(2)}_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} = 0, \quad \hat{W}^{(2)}_{\theta} = 0. \tag{48}$$

Among them, only three are independent. Using the solutions (40) and (41), we can obtain the scalar sector of gravity equations defined in (24) at order ϵ^2,

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}_{rr} &= \left[\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} H'_{r\hat{\tau}}(r) + \left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)} + \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{2g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right) H'_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r) - H''_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r) \right] \Omega, \\
E^{(2)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} &= \left[\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{H'_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r) - H'_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)} + \left(\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \left(\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \right) \frac{H'_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)} + \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{H''_{\tau\tau}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{g''_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} - \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \right) \right) \frac{H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r) - 2H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)}{2g_{rr}(r)} \right] \Omega, \\
E^{(2)}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} + E^{(2)}_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} &= \left[\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} \frac{H'_{r\hat{\tau}}(r) - H'_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} \left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} - \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} \right) - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} \right) \frac{2H'_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} \frac{H''_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} + \left(\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{2g_{xx}(r_c)} \left(\frac{g'_{rr}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} - \frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} \right) - \frac{g''_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} \right) \frac{H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r) - 2H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \right] \Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

and we have imposed the incompressible condition here and henceforth. The corresponding scalar sector of Cotton tensor defined in Eq.(17), appears firstly at order ϵ^2, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{(2)}_{rr} &= \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r)} \frac{g_{rr}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right) \theta'(r) \right] \Omega, \\
C^{(2)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} &= \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}} \frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g^{3/2}_{tt}(r_c)} \frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g^{3/2}_{xx}(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)}\sqrt{g_{xx}(r)}}{g_{rr}(r)} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right) \theta'(r) \right] \Omega, \\
C^{(2)}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} + C^{(2)}_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} &= \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_c)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right) \theta'(r) \right] \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In the end, we have the pseudo scalar equation defined in Eq.(26) at order ϵ^2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{W}^{(2)}_{\theta} \;=\; & \left[\frac{\theta'(r)}{g_{rr}(r)} \left(H'_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r) - H'_{r\hat{\tau}}(r) + H'_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r) \right) + \frac{dV(\theta(r))}{d\theta(r)} \left(H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r) - 2H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r) \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{2}{g^{3/2}_{rr}(r)} \frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r)} \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}} \left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)} \right)^2 \right] \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

With Dirichlet boundary condition at the cutoff surface, namely $H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r_c)=0$, $H_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r_c)=0$ and the finite boundary condition at the horizon, the function $H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r)$, $H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r)$ and $H_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r)$ are expected to be solved. Similarly procedure could be carried out by following the approach in Ref. [26], here we just assume these formal functions solving the scalar perturbation equations (48), similar to the assumption the black brane metric (27) solving the background equations.

3.3 Incompressible Navier-Stokes at the Cutoff Surface

We now study the dual (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamics in non-relativistic limit to the background gravity solution. At the cutoff surface Σ_c, the Brown-York tensor [27]

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}=2\left(\hat{K}\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}(r_c)-\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}+C\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}(r_c)\right) \tag{52}$$

is identified with the stress energy of the dual fluid in Ref.[32], where $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature tensor, $\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu}(r_c)=\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the induced metric on the cutoff surface, and C is a constant. In the non-relativistic limit, the non-zero components at order ϵ^0 turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} &= e_0(r_c), \qquad e_0(r_c)=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}-2C, &(53)\\
\mathcal{T}^{(0)}_{ij} &= p_0(r_c)\delta_{ij}, \qquad p_0(r_c)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}+\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right)+2C. &(54)
\end{aligned}$$

$e_0(r_c)$ and $p_0(r_c)$ could be regarded as the energy density and pressure of the dual fluid at rest on the cutoff surface in the thermal equilibrium state. They are related with each other through the equation of state of the fluid, which comes from the Hamiltonian constraint (see Eq.(106) in Appendix A). At the zeroth order it is given by

$$\frac{1}{16}\left(e_0(r_c)+2C\right)\left(e_0(r_c)+4p_0(r_c)-6C\right)=\Lambda+\frac{V(\theta)}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{g_{rr}(r_c)}\right)\theta'^2(r_c) \tag{55}$$

The entropy density and temperature of the dual fluid is defined as the local physical quantities at the cutoff surface Σ_c of the black brane [32, 39]

$$s_0(r_c)=\frac{1}{4G_N}\frac{g_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}, \qquad T(r_c)=\frac{T_H}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_c)}}, \qquad T_H\equiv\lim_{r\to r_h}\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{4\pi\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)g_{rr}(r)}}, \tag{56}$$

where a factor in the entropy density $s_0(r_c)$ has been chosen to meet with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula, while the local temperature at the cutoff surface $T(r_c)$ relates with the Hawking temperature T_H through the Tolman redshift relation.

At order ϵ^1, only vector components appear in the dual stress energy tensor

$$\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\hat{\tau}i}=\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{i\hat{\tau}}=-w_0(r_c)\beta_i, \qquad w_0(r_c)=e_0(r_c)+p_0(r_c)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}-\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right), \tag{57}$$

where $w_0(r_c)$ is the heat function per unit volume. While at order ϵ^2, the scalar and tensor modes are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}} &= w_0(r_c)\left(\mathcal{E}+\beta^2\right)+\zeta'_A(r_c)\Omega, &(58)\\
\mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{ij} &= w_0(r_c)\left(\mathcal{P}+\beta_i\beta_j\right)-2\eta(r_c)\sigma_{ij}-2\eta_A(r_c)\sigma^A_{ij}-\zeta_A(r_c)\Omega\delta_{ij}, &(59)
\end{aligned}$$

The normalized energy density and pressure perturbations are defined as

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{de_0(r_c)}{dr_c}\frac{\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)}{w_0(r_c)}, \qquad \mathcal{P} = \frac{dp_0(r_c)}{dr_c}\frac{\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)}{w_0(r_c)}, \tag{60}$$

where $\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)$ could be regarded as the thermodynamic parameter due to the temperature perturbation.

The transport coefficient appears at the first order gradient expansion. In the traceless tensor modes, the normal shear viscosity reads

$$\eta(r_c) \equiv 1 + \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{rr}(r_c)}}F'(r_c) = \frac{g_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}, \qquad \frac{\eta(r_c)}{s_0(r_c)} = 4G_N = \frac{1}{4\pi}, \tag{61}$$

which shows that η/s_0 is cutoff scale independent. While the Hall viscosity turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_A(r_c) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{rr}(r_c)}}F'_A(r_c) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{g_{xx}(r_h)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\frac{\theta'(r_h)}{g_{rr}(r_h)}\frac{g'_{tt}(r_h)}{g_{tt}(r_h)} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{w_0(r_c)\theta'(r_c)}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}, & (62) \\ \frac{\eta_A(r_c)}{s_0(r_c)} &= \frac{\lambda}{8\pi}\frac{\theta'(r_h)}{g_{rr}(r_h)}\frac{g'_{tt}(r_h)}{g_{tt}(r_h)} - \frac{\lambda}{8\pi}\frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_h)}\frac{\theta'(r_c)}{g_{rr}(r_c)}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right). & (63) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that η_A/s_0 is cutoff scale dependent due to the second term. When the curoff surface approaches to the horizon, namely, $r_c \to r_h$, one has $\eta_A(r_c)/s_0(r_c) \to 0$. Even without solving the scalar perturbation equations in (48), we can still give the formula of curl viscosity with the formal functions in Eq.(38). In the dual fluid stress energy tensor (58) and (59), the scalar sector coefficients at order ϵ^2 are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_A(r_c) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r_c) - H'_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}(r_c)\right), & (64) \\ \zeta_A(r_c) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}H_{r\hat{\tau}}(r_c) - H'_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r_c)\right) + \frac{\zeta'_A(r_c)}{2}. & (65) \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the Dirichlet boundary condition $H_{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}(r_c) = 0$ and $H_{xx}(r_c) = 0$. If the Landau frame is chosen that $\zeta'_A(r_c) = 0$, we can identify $\zeta_A(r_c)$ as the curl viscosity of the dual fluid.

According to the Gauss-Codazi equation in pure geometry sector (see Eq.(107) in Appendix.A) and the gravity equations in Eqs.(14), we have

$$\partial^\mu \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} \equiv -2\hat{N}^A R_{AB} h^B_{\ \nu} = \hat{N}^A\left(2\lambda C_{AB} - T^\theta_{AB}\right)h^B_{\ \nu}, \tag{66}$$

where $h_{AB} = g_{AB} - \hat{N}_A\hat{N}_B$ is the induced metric at the cutoff surface. In our gauge choice of the scalar perturbations in Eq.(40)-(41), it could be checked that up to order ϵ^3 of the source terms on the right-handed side, the Cotton tensor C_{AB} contributes nothing, while the stress energy tensor T^θ_{AB} contributes an external force density as

$$\mathcal{F}_i(r_c) = -\hat{N}^A T^\theta_{AB} h^B_{\ i} = -\frac{\theta'(r_c)^2}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\partial_i\left(\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)\right), \tag{67}$$

which comes from the scaling transformation parameter $\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu)$, and the normalized force density is $f_i(r_c) = \mathcal{F}_i(r_c)/w_0(r_c)$. Thus finally we get the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Hall and curl viscosities in Eq.(10) from Einstein gravity with Chern-Simons term,

$$\partial_i\beta^i = 0, \quad \partial_{\hat{\tau}}\beta_i + \beta_j\partial^j\beta_i + \partial_i\mathcal{P} - \nu(r_c)\,\partial^2\beta_i - \nu_A(r_c)\epsilon^{ij}\partial^2\beta_j - \xi_A(r_c)\epsilon^{jk}\partial_i\partial_j\beta_k = f_i(r_c). \tag{68}$$

where the kinematic viscosities are defined as

$$\nu(r_c) = \eta(r_c)/w_0(r_c), \quad \nu_A(r_c) = \eta_A(r_c)/w_0(r_c), \quad \xi_A(r_c) = \zeta_A(r_c)/w_0(r_c), \tag{69}$$

respectively. The Reynolds number of the dual fluid associated with the shear viscosity and Hall viscosity can also be defined as

$$\mathcal{R} \;\equiv\; \frac{uL}{\nu} \propto \frac{1}{\nu(r_c)}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_A \equiv \frac{uL}{\nu_A} \propto \frac{1}{\nu_A(r_c)}, \tag{70}$$

where u is the characteristic velocity, L is the characteristic scale. If we further set $\delta\hat{r}(\hat{x}^\mu) \equiv 0$, then the normalized pressure perturbation $\mathcal{P}$ and force density $f_i(r_c)$ vanishes, the holographic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations will reduce into the incompressible Burger's equations [28] with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity,

$$\partial_i\beta^i = 0, \quad \partial_{\hat{\tau}}\beta_i + \beta_j\partial^j\beta_i - \nu(r_c)\,\partial^2\beta_i - \nu_A(r_c)\epsilon^{ij}\partial^2\beta_j - \xi_A(r_c)\epsilon^{jk}\partial_i\partial_j\beta_k = 0. \tag{71}$$

4 Dual Fluid at the Infinite Boundary

To compare with results in Ref. [5], we take the limit with the cutoff surface $r_c \to \infty$. The ansatz of the bulk metric is

$$ds^2 = -r^2 f(r)d\tau^2 + 2H(r)d\tau dr + r^2 dx^i dx_i, \quad i,j = 1,2, \tag{72}$$

and $\theta = \theta(r)$ is a pseudo scalar coupled to the gravitational Chern-Simons term, as well as a negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3/\ell^2$. Under this ansatz, the Pontryagin density $\tilde{R}R$ is identically zero [11]. This metric is related to our generic metric in Eq.(87) through

$$g_{tt}(r) = r^2 f(r), \quad g_{xx}(r) = r^2, \quad g_{rr}(r) = \frac{H(r)^2}{r^2 f(r)}. \tag{73}$$

And the pseudo scalar equation $\hat{W}^{(0)}_\theta = 0$, with Eq.(35) becomes

$$\theta''(r) + \left(\frac{4}{r} + \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{H'(r)}{H(r)}\right)\theta'(r) - \frac{H(r)^2}{r^2 f(r)}\frac{dV(\theta(r))}{d\theta(r)} = 0. \tag{74}$$

The dynamics shear viscosity and Hall viscosity at the cutoff surface Σ_c defined in Eq.(61) and (62) turn out to be

$$\eta(r_c) = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\frac{r_h}{r_c}, \qquad \eta_A(r_c) = \frac{\lambda}{16\pi G_N}\frac{1}{r_c^2}\left(\frac{r_h^4 f'(r_h)\theta'(r_h)}{2H(r_h)^2} - \frac{r_c^4 f'(r_c)\theta'(r_c)}{2H(r_c)^2}\right). \tag{75}$$

According to Eqs.(56), the entropy density and temperature of the dual fluid at cutoff surface Σ_c are

$$s_0(r_c) = \frac{1}{4G_N}\frac{r_h}{r_c}, \qquad T(r_c) = \frac{T_H}{r_c\sqrt{f(r_c)}}, \qquad T_H = \frac{r_h^2 f'(r_h)}{4\pi H(r_h)}. \tag{76}$$

Thus the shear and Hall viscosity over entropy density are given respectively by

$$\frac{\eta(r_c)}{s_0(r_c)} \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\;, \quad \frac{\eta_A(r_c)}{s_0(r_c)} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\frac{1}{r_h^2}\left(\frac{r_h^4 f'(r_h)\theta'(r_h)}{2H(r_h)^2} - \frac{r_c^4 f'(r_c)\theta'(r_c)}{2H(r_c)^2}\right). \tag{77}$$

where we have used normalization $16\pi G_N = 1$. The shear viscosity over entropy density of dual fluid does not run with the cutoff surface, while it is not the case for the Hall viscosity. In the infinity boundary limit $r_c \to \infty$, if we make the following assumptions that

$$f(r_c) \to 1 - \mathcal{O}(r_h^3/r_c^3), \qquad H(r_c) \to 1 - \mathcal{O}(r_h^3/r_c^3), \qquad \theta(r_c) \to \mathcal{O}(r_h^a/r_c^a), \tag{78}$$

when $a > 0$, we can drop the second term in η_A/s, thus recover the result in Ref.[5] [5]. In that case, the Hall viscosity over entropy density is entirely determined by the near horizon region of the black-brane geometry.

5 Discussions

In this paper, we have investigated the non-relativistic hydrodynamics with Hall viscosity and curl viscosity living on a finite cutoff surface, dual to $(3+1)$-dimensional Einstein gravity with a pseudo scalar coupled to a topological gravitational Chern-Simmons term. The topological Pontryagin density $\tilde{R}R$ is totally depicted by the Weyl tensor, which describers the traceless part of the Riemann tensor. While the Ricci tensor is Riemann tensor's trace and together with Weyl tensor provide a complete description of the curvature of the space-time. In (3+1)-dimensional space-time, the Weyl tensor and its dual can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ABCD} &= R_{ABCD} - (g_{A[C}R_{D]B} - g_{A[D}R_{C]B}) + \frac{1}{3}g_{A[C}g_{D]B}R, \qquad (79)\\ \tilde{C}^{ABCD} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{CDEF}C^{AB}{}_{EF}, \qquad \tilde{C}C \equiv \tilde{C}^{ABCD}C_{BACD}, \qquad (80) \end{aligned}$$

and it could be shown that the Pontryagin density $\tilde{R}R = \tilde{C}C$ (for example see [13]). One can further define the gravito-electric and gravito-magnetic field as

$$E_{AC} + iB_{AC} \equiv (C_{ABCD} + i\tilde{C}_{ABCD})u^B u^D = (C_{ABCD} + i\tilde{C}_{ABCD})P^{BD}, \tag{81}$$

where u^B is a normalized time-like 4-velocity, and its projection $P^{BD} = g^{BD} + u^B u^D$. The last identity is true since the traceless properties of the Wely tensor. Thus, the Lagrangian density in Eq.(12) in the bulk can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \equiv \frac{\lambda}{4}\theta\tilde{R}R = \frac{\lambda}{4}\theta\,\tilde{C}C = -4\lambda\,\theta\,E_{AC}B^{AC}, \tag{82}$$

---

[5] There is a notation difference from Ref.[5]: $\lambda_{\text{here}} = -\lambda_{\text{there}}$.

which is analogous to that in $U(1)$ gauge theory, $\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{(\kappa)} = \kappa\theta\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = -4\kappa\theta(\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B})$. With suitable coefficient and a constant θ-vacuum, $\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{(\kappa)}$ can be used to describe the electromagnetic response of (3+1)-dimensional topological insulators (TI), while $\mathcal{L}_{CS}$ can be used to describe the gravitational response of (3+1)-dimensional topological superconductors (TSC), a half quantized thermal Hall effect may appear on the surface [23, 24]. Alternatively, if we consider the stress response of (3+1)-dimensional TI to an external torsion field, it was studied in Ref.[25] that the time-reversal invariant TI will exhibit a quantum Hall viscosity on their surfaces. Thus it would be interesting to see whether the bulk gravity in this paper could describe a deformed TI or TSC, with the surface quantum Hall effect as the dual description. In our general perturbative metric (28), the Lagrangian of the anomaly term firstly appears at order ϵ^2 as

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{\lambda}{2}\,\theta(r)\frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{rr}^{3/2}(r)}\frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}^2(r)}\sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r)} - \frac{g'_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r)}\right)^2\Omega, \tag{83}$$

which has been simplified through the background equations in (31). To get a non-vanishing Hall viscosity η_A in Eq.(62), the pseudo scalar field $\theta(r)$ is required to be coordinate r-dependent. Otherwise, when $\theta(r)$ vanishes, parity is not broken in the bulk and in the dual boundary theory, and when $\theta(r)$ is a non-zero constant, the gravitational Chern-Simons term is just a surface term in the action which violates the parity in the bulk but contributes nothing to the equations of motion in the bulk, hence leads to a vanishing Hall viscosity. In Ref.[6], by including a gauge field in the bulk gravity such that the solution is free from the violation of the positive energy theorem [29], the pseudo scalar hair would break parity spontaneously.

Inheriting the spirit of holographic Wilson's renormalization group (RG) approach in Ref.[32], the re-scaled cutoff size could be regarded as the RG running scale. The non-vanishing trace of the symmetric stress energy tensor leads to the trace anomaly in quantum field theory, where the corresponding β-function can be obtained from the coefficients in front of the trace. In our dual gravity model, Setting $\lambda = 0$ to turn off the gravitational Chern-Simons term in the bulk, we can go back to the d-dimensional fluid corresponding to (d+1)-dimensional bulk gravity with a scalar field $\theta(r)$, the relation between the trace $\mathcal{T}^{\mu}_{\mu}$ in Eq.(105) of dual Brown-York stress energy tensor at zeroth order and $\beta_{(e)}$ is,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu}_{\mu} = -\beta_{(e)}\frac{d}{r_c\left[g_{xx}^{d/2}(r_c)\right]'} + \frac{2g_{xx}(r_c)}{g'_{xx}(r_c)}\frac{\theta'(r_c)^2}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\,, \qquad \beta_{(e)} = r_c\frac{\partial}{\partial r_c}\left[e(r_c)g_{xx}^{d/2}(r_c)\right], \tag{84}$$

where $e(r_c)$ is given in Eq.(103), r_c is analogous to the cutoff energy scale. Considering there exists a conformal factor difference in the definition of the dual stress tensor in Ref.[37] and transforming to that frame, we can conclude that the trace of the fluid stress tensor is generated by the energy density as well as an extra term contributed by the the scalar field. This relation could also be generalized at higher order of hydrodynamic expansion, and in order to consider the effects of parity violating interactions, one can turning on the gravitational Chern-Simons term in the bulk. As the ratio of the Hall viscosity over entropy density in Eq.(63) is found to be cutoff scale dependent, we can also define the following β-function

$$\beta_{(\eta_A/s)} = r_c\frac{\partial}{\partial r_c}\left[\frac{\eta_A(r_c)}{s_0(r_c)}\right] = -\frac{\lambda\, r_c}{8\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_c}\left[\frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_h)}\frac{w_0(r_c)\theta'(r_c)}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\right], \tag{85}$$

to represent its non-trivial evolution, where $w_0(r_c)$ is the heat function per unit volume in Eq.(57). When the cutoff surface approaches to the horizon of the background spacetime, $\eta(r_h)/s_0(r_h) = 0$, but $\beta_{(\eta_A/s)}$ could be non-zero.

Acknowledgements

We thank valuable discussions with Song He, Ya-Peng Hu, Li Li, Jia-Rui Sun, Jia-Jun Xu and Yang Zhang. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10821504, No. 10975168, No. 11035008, No. 11075194 and No. 11135003), and in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China under Grant No. 2010CB833004. Particularly, Y. -H. Qi would like to thanks Yu-Ping Kuang and S.-S.-Henry Tye for advising and supporting, he would also like to thank Éanna Flanagan for advising him the general relativity. Y. -L. Zhang would like to thank the "Spring School on Superstring Theory and Related Topics", held at the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP: smr2331), for their hospitality and financial support. Especially, he would like to thank S. Minwalla and H. Liu for kind and valuable discussions.

A Non-relativistic Hydrodynamic from Gravity

This appendix briefly introduces the non-relativistic expansion procedure associated with a cutoff surface [39]. For further details, see Refs. [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38].

A.1 Bulk Geometry and a Finite Cutoff Surface

In order to study the fluid in d-dimensional flat space-time, let us consider a $(d+1)$-dimensional background geometry with a generic metric

$$ds^2_{d+1} = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{xx}(r)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j + g_{rr}(r)dr^2, \quad i,j = 1,\dots d-1, \tag{86}$$

where the metric components depend only on radial coordinate r. In addition, we assume the fully spacial rotational $SO(d-1)$ symmetry in x^i directions, and require that the geometry of the space-time manifold $\mathcal{M}$ has a well-defined future horizon located at $r = r_h$, where $g_{tt}(r)$ has the first-order zero $g_{tt}(r_h) = 0$, and $g_{rr}(r)$ has the first-order pole $g^{-1}_{rr}(r_h) = 0$ [30]. By using the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate τ defined through $d\tau = dt + \sqrt{g_{rr}(r)/g_{tt}(r)}\,dr$, we can rewrite the bulk metric as

$$ds^2_{d+1} = -g_{tt}(r)d\tau^2 + g_{xx}(r)dx_i dx^i + 2\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)g_{rr}(r)}d\tau dr. \tag{87}$$

Let us define an arbitrary hyper-surface at a constant radial coordinate r as Σ_r, and introduce a specific finite cutoff hyper-surface Σ_c at $r = r_c$ outside the horizon $(r_c > r_h)$, where the associated intrinsic coordinates $\hat{x}^\mu \sim (\hat{\tau}, \hat{x}^i)$ on Σ_c are

$$\hat{x}^0 = \hat{\tau} = \tau\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_c)}, \quad \hat{x}^i = x^i\sqrt{g_{xx}(r_c)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1. \tag{88}$$

The bulk metric in Eq.(87) in the intrinsic coordinates becomes

$$ds^2_{d+1} = -\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}d\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}d\hat{x}_i d\hat{x}^i + 2\sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}}\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}d\hat{\tau}dr. \tag{89}$$

We adopt the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner(ADM) decomposition along r direction [40]

$$ds^2_{d+1} = \hat{\alpha}^2 dr^2 + \gamma_{\mu\nu}(d\hat{x}^\mu - \hat{\beta}^\mu dr)(d\hat{x}^\nu - \hat{\beta}^\nu dr), \tag{90}$$

with the "lapse function" $\hat{\alpha} = \sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}$ and the "shift vector" $\hat{\beta}^\mu = \sqrt{g_{tt}(r_c)/g_{tt}(r)}\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}\,\delta^\mu_\tau$ respectively. The generic induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)$ is an analytically extension from Σ_c to Σ_r, where

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu \equiv -\frac{g_{tt}(r)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}d\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{g_{xx}(r)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}d\hat{x}_i d\hat{x}^i. \tag{91}$$

It is worthy to notice that $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \equiv (-1, \delta_{ij})$, which implies that the induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)$ on Σ_r reduces to a flat metric on Σ_c.

A.2 Diffeomorphisms Associated with the Cutoff Surface

With a flat induced metric given at the cutoff surface Σ_c, we can take two finite diffeomorphisms. The first one is a linear scale transformation along the radial coordinate r and the rescaling of the intrinsic coordinates $\hat{x}^\mu = (\hat{\tau}, \hat{x}^i)$,

$$r \to \hat{r} \equiv k(r), \quad \hat{\tau} \to \hat{\tau}\sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(\hat{r}_c)}}, \quad \hat{x}^i \to \hat{x}^i\sqrt{\frac{g_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(\hat{r}_c)}}, \tag{92}$$

where $k(r)$ is a linear function of r, and a concrete form of $k(r)$ will be chosen according to the specific global geometry of the bulk metric in Eq.(89). In addition, the notation $\hat{r}_c \equiv k(r_c)$ is introduced. Under this diffeomorphism, the bulk metric in Eq.(89) is rescaled as

$$ds^2_{d+1} \to ds^2_{d+1} = -\frac{g_{tt}(\hat{r})}{g_{tt}(\hat{r}_c)}d\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{g_{xx}(\hat{r})}{g_{xx}(\hat{r}_c)}d\hat{x}_i d\hat{x}^i + 2\sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(\hat{r})}{g_{tt}(\hat{r}_c)}}\sqrt{g_{rr}(\hat{r})}d\hat{\tau}d\hat{r}. \tag{93}$$

The second diffeomorphism is a generic Lorentz transformation

$$\hat{\tau} \to \gamma(\hat{\tau} - \beta_i \hat{x}^i), \quad \hat{x}^i \to \hat{x}^i - \gamma\beta^i\hat{\tau} + (\gamma - 1)\frac{\beta^i\beta_j}{\beta^2}\hat{x}^j, \tag{94}$$

with the boost parameter $\beta_j = \delta_{ij}\beta^i$ and Lorentz factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$. We can define the d-dimensional velocity, and d-dimensional polarization vector as

$$u_\mu \;=\; \gamma(-1, \beta_i), \quad n^j_\mu = (-\gamma\beta^j,\ \delta^j_i + (\gamma - 1)\frac{\beta^j\beta_i}{\beta^2}), \tag{95}$$

with $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$, $n^\mu_j n^j_\mu = d - 1$ and $u^\mu n^i_\mu = 0$. Then the Lorentz transformation on the cutoff hypersurface becomes $\hat{\tau} \to -u_\mu \hat{x}^\mu$, and $\hat{x}^i \to n^i_\mu \hat{x}^\mu$. The re-scaled bulk metric in Eq.(93) becomes

$$ds^2_{d+1} \to ds^2_{d+1} = -\frac{g_{tt}(\hat{r})}{g_{tt}(\hat{r}_c)}u_\mu u_\nu d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu + \frac{g_{xx}(\hat{r})}{g_{xx}(\hat{r}_c)}P_{\mu\nu}d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu - 2\sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(\hat{r})}{g_{tt}(\hat{r}_c)}}\sqrt{g_{rr}(\hat{r})}u_\mu d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{r}, \tag{96}$$

where the projection tensor

$$P_{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu = \delta_{ij} n^i_\mu n^j_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2\beta^2 & -\gamma^2\beta_j \\ -\gamma^2\beta^i & \delta^i{}_j + \gamma^2\beta^i\beta_j \end{pmatrix}, \tag{97}$$

satisfying $P^\mu_\mu = d-1$. Under the two diffeomorphism transformations, the metric in the ADM-like decomposition with the "lapse" function $\hat\alpha(r)$ and the "shift" vector $\hat\beta(r)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{d+1} &= \hat\alpha^2(r)d\hat r^2 + \hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)\left(d\hat x^\mu - \hat\beta^\mu(r)d\hat r\right)\left(d\hat x^\nu - \hat\beta^\nu(r)d\hat r\right), & (98) \\ \hat\alpha(r) &= \sqrt{g_{rr}(\hat r)}, \quad \hat\beta^\mu(r) = \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(\hat r_c)}{g_{tt}(\hat r)}}\sqrt{g_{rr}(\hat r)}\; u^\mu, & (99) \end{aligned}$$

and the induced metric in Eq.(91) is transformed into $\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)$ as

$$\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r) \equiv -\frac{g_{tt}(\hat r)}{g_{tt}(\hat r_c)}u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{g_{xx}(\hat r)}{g_{xx}(\hat r_c)}P_{\mu\nu}. \tag{100}$$

At the cutoff $r = r_c$ surface, which is equivalent to $\hat r = \hat r_c$, the induced metric $\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c)$ keeps flat.

A.3 Dual Hydrodynamic in Non-Relativistic Limit

We perturb the diffeomorphic metric (96) in the non-relativistic limit. As r is a radial coordinate along the extra dimension orthogonal to the cutoff hyper-surface, we can define a scaling transformation parameter $\delta\hat r \equiv \hat r - r$. Assuming the scalar parameter $\delta\hat r$ and the velocity parameters β^i in the Lorentz boost are all functions of the intrinsic coordinates $\hat x^\mu = (\hat\tau, \hat x^i)$, in the non-relativistic hydrodynamic limit we have the following scaling (here the subscript i present $\hat x^i$)

$$\partial_r \sim \epsilon^0, \quad \partial_i \sim \beta_i(\hat x^\mu) \sim \epsilon^1, \quad \partial_{\hat\tau} \sim \delta\hat r(\hat x^\mu) \sim \epsilon^2, \tag{101}$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter. Therefore, the metric function can be expanded, i.e., $g_{tt}(\hat r) = g_{tt}(r) + g'_{tt}(r)\delta\hat r(r) + \ldots$, then the diffeomorphic metric could be expanded order by order in the expansion parameter, up to order ϵ^2, just gives the result in Eq.(28). In Einstein gravity, we assume that the generic metric in (86) solves Einstein's equations. Then the diffeomorphic metric is also the solution. After demanding the transformation parameters β_i and $\delta\hat r$ to be coordinates $\hat x^\mu$ dependent, the metric in (96) is no longer the solution of Einstein's equations. We can solve the Einstein's equations order by order in the non-relativistic hydrodynamic expansion, via adding new correction terms to the metric. Similar procedure could be carried out when the matter field are present.

The intrinsic curvature of the bulk geometry is measured by Riemann tensor, while the extrinsic curvature depends on how the hyper-surface is embedded into the bulk geometry. Thus, when we perturb the background metric, we can choose the gauge to keep the induce metric $\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c)$ flat. The extrinsic curvature at the cutoff surface is $\hat K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\hat N}\hat\gamma^{(c)}_{\mu\nu}(r)|_{r=r_c}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\hat N}$ is the Lie derivative along the outpointing normal vector $\hat N$ of the cutoff surface, $\hat\gamma^{(c)}_{\mu\nu}(r)$ is an analytic extension of the induced metric $\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c)$ on Σ_c. And they are all associated with the perturbed metric with correction terms. In $(d+1)$-dimensional Einstein gravity, if we use the normalization condition $16\pi G_N^{(d+1)} = 1$, the Brown-York tensor on the cutoff surface Σ_c is given by [27]

$$\mathcal{T}^{BY}_{\mu\nu} = 2(\hat K\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c) - \hat K_{\mu\nu} + C\hat\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r_c)). \tag{102}$$

It is identified with the stress tensor of the dual fluid in Ref. [32]. In the non-relativistic hydrodynamic expansion, we can also fix the physical stress energy tensor order by order. The zeroth order stress tensor could be written as $\mathcal{T}^{BY}_{\mu\nu} = e(r_c)u^\mu u^\nu + p(r_c)P_{\mu\nu}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} e(r_c) &= -\frac{d-1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)} - 2C, && (103) \\ p(r_c) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}(r_c)}}\left(\frac{g'_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)} + (d-2)\frac{g'_{xx}(r_c)}{g_{xx}(r_c)}\right) + 2C, && (104) \end{aligned}$$

are the energy density and pressure of the dual fluid, respectively. And the trace of the dual stress energy tensor at the zeroth order is

$$\mathcal{T}^\mu_\mu = -e(r_c) + (d-1)p(r_c). \tag{105}$$

In addition, the dual fluid is described by the constraint equations [41, 32]

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{K}^2 - \hat{K}_{AB}\hat{K}^{AB}) &\equiv 2\,G_{MN}\hat{N}^M\hat{N}^N|_{\Sigma_c}, && (106) \\ 2D^A(\hat{K}h_{AB} - \hat{K}_{AB}) &\equiv -2G_{MN}\hat{N}^M h^N{}_B|_{\Sigma_c}. && (107) \end{aligned}$$

where G_{MN} is the Einstein tensor, $h_{AB} = g_{AB} - \hat{N}_A\hat{N}_B$ and D denotes the derivative operator associated with the induced metric h_{AB}. The first one is the Hamiltonian constraint which gives the equation of state of fluid relating the pressure and energy density. The second one is the momentum constraint which gives the evolution equations of the fluid, and it reduces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the non-relativistic limit. Correction terms will appear if matters or higher order derivative terms are added to the Einstein gravity.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].

[2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [hep-th/9802109].

[3] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [hep-th/9802150].

[4] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, "Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity," JHEP **0802**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2456 [hep-th]].

[5] O. Saremi and D. T. Son, "Hall viscosity from gauge/gravity duality," JHEP **1204**, 091 (2012) [arXiv:1103.4851 [hep-th]].

[6] J. -W. Chen, N. -E. Lee, D. Maity and W. -Y. Wen, "A Holographic Model For Hall Viscosity," Phys. Lett. B **713**, 47 (2012) [arXiv:1110.0793 [hep-th]].

[7] K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, R. Meyer, A. Ritz and A. Yarom, "Parity-Violating Hydrodynamics in 2+1 Dimensions," JHEP **1205**, 102 (2012) [arXiv:1112.4498 [hep-th]].

[8] Robert G. Leigh, Anastasios C. Petkou, P. Marios Petropoulos "Holographic Fluids with Vorticity and Analogue Gravity," arXiv:1205.6140[hep-th]

[9] J. -W. Chen, S. -H. Dai, N. -E. Lee and D. Maity, "Novel Parity Violating Transport Coefficients in 2+1 Dimensions from Holography," arXiv:1206.0850 [hep-th].

[10] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, "Chern-Simons modification of general relativity," Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104012 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0308071].

[11] D. Grumiller, R. B. Mann and R. McNees, "Dirichlet boundary value problem for Chern-Simons modified gravity," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 081502 (2008) [arXiv:0803.1485 [gr-qc]].

[12] T. L. Smith, A. L. Erickcek, R. R. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, "The Effects of Chern-Simons gravity on bodies orbiting the Earth," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024015 (2008) [arXiv:0708.0001 [astro-ph]].

[13] D. Grumiller and N. Yunes, "How do Black Holes Spin in Chern-Simons Modified Gravity?," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 044015 (2008) [arXiv:0711.1868 [gr-qc]].

[14] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, "Gravitational Anomalies," Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 269 (1984).

[15] J. E. Avron, R. Seiler and P. G. Zograf, "Viscosity of quantum Hall fluids," Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 697 (1995).

[16] X. -G. Wen and A. Zee, "Shift and Spin Vector: New Topological Quantum Numbers for the Hall Fluids," Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 953 (1992).

[17] J. E. Avron, "Odd Viscosity," J. Stat. Phys. 92, 543 (1998) [arXiv:physics/9712050].

[18] N. Read, "Non-Abelian adiabatic statistics and Hall viscosity in quantum Hall states and p(x) + ip(y) paired superfluids," Phys. Rev. B **79**, 045308 (2009) [arXiv:0805.2507 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

[19] F. D. M. Haldane, "'Hall viscosity' and intrinsic metric of incompressible fractional Hall fluids," arXiv:0906.1854 [cond-mat.str-el].

[20] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, "Hall viscosity, orbital spin, and geometry: paired superfluids and quantum Hall systems," Phys. Rev. B **84**, 085316 (2011) [arXiv:1008.0210 [cond-mat].]

[21] A. Nicolis and D. T. Son, "Hall viscosity from effective field theory," arXiv:1103.2137 [hep-th].

[22] C. Hoyos and D. T. Son, "Hall Viscosity and Electromagnetic Response," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 066805 (2012) [arXiv:1109.2651 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

[23] S. Ryu, J. E. Moore, A. W. W. Ludwig, "Electromagnetic and gravitational responses and anomalies in topological insulators and superconductors" Phys. Rev. B **85**, 045104 (2012) [arXiv:1010.0936 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[24] Z. Wang, X. -L. Qi and S. -C. Zhang, "Topological field theory and thermal responses of interacting topological superconductors," Phys. Rev. B **84**, 014527 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0586 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[25] T. L. Hughes, R. G. Leigh and E. Fradkin, "Torsional Response and Dissipationless Viscosity in Topological Insulators," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 075502 (2011) [arXiv:1101.3541 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

[26] S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu and F. D. Rocha, "Bulk viscosity of strongly coupled plasmas with holographic duals," JHEP **0808**, 085 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0407 [hep-th]].

[27] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr., "Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action," Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1407 (1993) [gr-qc/9209012].

[28] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz. "Fluid Mechanics," Oxford: Pergamon Press (1987)

[29] T. Hertog, "Towards a Novel no-hair Theorem for Black Holes," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084008 (2006) [gr-qc/0608075].

[30] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, "Universality of the hydrodynamic limit in AdS/CFT and the membrane paradigm," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 025023 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3808 [hep-th]].

[31] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and S. R. Wadia, "The Incompressible Non-Relativistic Navier-Stokes Equation from Gravity," JHEP **0908**, 059 (2009) [arXiv:0810.1545 [hep-th]].

[32] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, "Wilsonian Approach to Fluid/Gravity Duality," JHEP **1103**, 141 (2011) [arXiv:1006.1902 [hep-th]].

[33] D. Nickel and D. T. Son, "Deconstructing holographic liquids," New J. Phys. **13**, 075010 (2011) [arXiv:1009.3094 [hep-th]].

[34] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, "From Navier-Stokes To Einstein," [arXiv:1101.2451 [hep-th]].

[35] G. Compere, P. McFadden, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "The holographic fluid dual to vacuum Einstein gravity," JHEP **1107**, 050 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3022 [hep-th]].

[36] R. -G. Cai, L. Li and Y. -L. Zhang, "Non-Relativistic Fluid Dual to Asymptotically AdS Gravity at Finite Cutoff Surface," JHEP **1107**, 027 (2011) [arXiv:1104.3281 [hep-th]].

[37] D. Brattan, J. Camps, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, "CFT dual of the AdS Dirichlet problem: Fluid/Gravity on cut-off surfaces," JHEP **1112**, 090 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2577 [hep-th]].

[38] C. Niu, Y. Tian, X. -N. Wu and Y. Ling, "Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equation from Einstein-Maxwell and Gauss-Bonnet-Maxwell Theories," Phys. Lett. B **711**, 411 (2012) [arXiv:1107.1430 [hep-th]];

[39] R. -G. Cai, L. Li, Z. -Y. Nie and Y. -L. Zhang, "Holographic Forced Fluid Dynamics in Non-relativistic Limit," Nucl. Phys. B **864**, 260 (2012) [arXiv:1202.4091 [hep-th]].

[40] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, in Gravitation:An Introduction to Current Research, edited by L.Witten(Wiley, New York, 1962)

[41] R. M. Wald, "General Relativity," University of Chicago Press (1984).